## **Course**

PSYD11H3: The Psychology of Interpersonal Relationships Class Times/Location: Section 1: Tuesdays 10-Noon, Section 2: Tuesdays Noon-2pm, SW316 Quercus Website: q.toronto.ca

## **Instructor**

SiSi Tran, Ph.D. E-mail: sisi.tran@utsc.utoronto.ca Office: Science Wing, SW531 Office Hours: Tuesdays 2-3pm or by appointment

## **Course Description, Goals, and Objectives**

The course provides an introduction to theory and research in close relationships. It covers topics including (1) theoretical perspectives on close relationships, (2) perceptions of and interactions within close relationships, (3) development and maintenance of relationships, and (4) relationship conflict and dissolution. The course is structured as an undergraduate seminar, geared around class discussion.

The general goals and objectives of the course are to help students:

- 1. Gain mastery over major research findings, terminology, principles, and theories in the field of interpersonal relationships.
- 2. Practice and develop critical thinking skills by
  - a. analyzing current issues and controversies in the field of relationships, and
    b. applying psychological findings to everyday life.
- 3. Practice and develop precision, professionalism, and confidence in written and oral communication skills.

Course objectives may be obtained through reading and studying the course packet, through satisfactory completion of assignments, and by attention to and active participation and engagement in class lectures, discussions, and activities.

## **Required Readings**

Unlike many university courses, this upper level seminar does not have a traditional textbook. Instead, the readings for this course have been individually selected and compiled from many different sources to more fully represent the field of interpersonal relationships.

The required readings and supplemental articles for the course can be found in the "Course Readings" link on our Quercus website. All course readings are in compliance with the University of Toronto copyright access guidelines.

## **Reflection Papers**

A one-page reflection paper will be submitted each week about the readings for that week. The reflection paper is just as it sounds – a "reflection" on the readings. The assignment is designed to ensure that students think critically about the readings and deliberately express their own thoughts and opinions about the topic. The paper should highlight components of the readings that students find interesting, insightful, controversial, and/or contradictory. Students should use these papers to provide a case for their own perspectives about the ideas, with a clear and compelling analysis. Bullet points will not be accepted. Instead, it should be formatted with complete thoughts, sentences, and paragraphs.

Each reflection paper is worth 15 points (120 points in all). Each paper is due by 12pm Noon on the Sunday before class. Reflection papers should be submitted via Quercus Discussion Board. Five points will be deducted from the student's score for late submissions (between 12:01pm and midnight on Sunday). Reflection papers submitted thereafter will not be accepted.

## **Class Participation**

As a senior level seminar, this class is structured primarily around class discussion. Thus, it is imperative that students actively participate in discussions, activities, and exercises. This will greatly enhance the classroom experience. It will make learning more interactive, more fun, more personally relevant, and more enduring. Class participation will be monitored as a whole throughout the course, worth 30 points.

## **Guided Discussion**

Throughout the semester, each student will pair up with a fellow student to guide class discussion. The discussions should be based in principles from a pre-selected research article (see list of empirical articles below). Only the pair or group of students who are assigned to present the research article will be responsible for reading it. The student presenters will briefly summarize the principles from the article and guide class discussion around those principles in a 25-minute class discussion. Detailed instructions will be provided in class. The guided class discussion is worth 50 points.

## **Research Presentation**

At the end of the term, each student will pair up with a fellow student and present a collaborative research project. The proposal will involve researching a topic of the students' own interest in interpersonal relationships and designing a research study to examine a set of questions that will advance our knowledge in the field. The proposal will then be presented to the class. The presentation should include (a) an overview of the literature pertaining to a domain of relationships psychology, (b) a gap in that literature that the students' proposal seeks to fill, (c) details of the sample, method, and expected results of the proposal, and (d) a conclusion about how the proposal is important and innovative. Visual aids are recommended (e.g., Powerpoint presentation, overhead slides, or handouts). The presentation should last approximately 10-12 minutes with a few minutes for questions.

## Missed Term Work

### Missed Term Work due to Medical Illness or Other Emergency:

All students citing a documented reason for missed term work must bring their documentation to the Psychology Course Coordinator in SW427C within three (3) business days of the assignment due date. You must bring the following:

- (1.) A completed Request for Missed Term Work form (http://uoft.me/PSY-MTW), and
- (2.) Appropriate documentation to verify your illness or emergency, as described below.

### Appropriate Documentation:

For missed **<u>TERM TESTS</u>** due to ILLNESS:

Submit an **<u>original</u>** copy of the official UTSC Verification of Illness Form (<u>http://uoft.me/UTSC-Verification-Of-Illness-Form</u>) or an **<u>original</u>** copy of the record of visitation to a hospital emergency room. Forms are to be completed in full, clearly indicating the start date, anticipated end date, and severity of illness. The physician's registration number and business stamp are required.

For missed ASSIGNMENTS due to ILLNESS:

Submit **both** (1.) a <u>hardcopy</u> of the Self-Declaration of Student Illness Form (<u>http://uoft.me/PSY-self-declare-form</u>), **and** (2.) the <u>web-based</u> departmental declaration form (<u>http://uoft.me/PSY-self-declare-web</u>).

For missed term tests or assignments in OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES:

- In the case of a **death of a family member**, a copy of a death certificate should be provided.
- In the case of a **disability-related concern**, an email from your Disability Consultant at AccessAbility Services should be sent directly to both the Course Coordinator (psychology-undergraduate@utsc.utoronto.ca) and your instructor, detailing the accommodations required.
- For U of T Varsity **athletic commitments**, an email from your coach or varsity administrator should be sent directly to the Course Coordinator (psychology-undergraduate@utsc.utoronto.ca), detailing the dates and nature of the commitment. The email should be sent **well in advance** of the missed work.

Documents covering the following situations are **NOT acceptable**: medical prescriptions, personal travel, weddings, or personal/work commitments.

#### Procedure:

Submit your (1.) request form and (2.) medical/self-declaration/other documents in person WITHIN 3 BUSINESS DAYS of the missed term test or assignment.

Submit to: Course Coordinator, Room SW427C, Monday – Friday, 9 AM – 4 PM

If you are unable to meet this deadline for some reason, you must contact the Course Coordinator via email (<u>psychology-undergraduate@utsc.utoronto.ca</u>) within the three business day window. Exceptions to the documentation deadline will only be made under exceptional circumstances.

Within approximately one week, you will receive an email response from the Course Instructor / Course Coordinator detailing the accommodations to be made (if any). You are responsible for checking your official U of T email and Quercus course announcements daily, as accommodations may be time-critical.

Completion of this form does NOT guarantee that accommodations will be made. The course instructor reserves the right to decide what accommodations (if any) will be made. Failure to adhere to any aspect of this policy may result in a denial of your request for accommodation.

Note that this policy applies only to missed assignments and term tests. Missed final exams are handled by the Registrar's Office (<u>http://www.utsc.utoronto.ca/registrar/missing-examination</u>).

## **Disabilities**

Academic accommodations are available for students with disabilities who are registered with Access *Ability* Services. Students who register and utilize the Access *Ability* services will not be identified on their transcript as receiving accommodations. Information disclosed to the service is confidential and is disclosed only with the student's permission. Students in need of disability accommodations should schedule an appointment with me and/or an Access *Ability* consultant early in the semester to discuss appropriate accommodations for the course. Informing me well in advance is always better. There is nothing that I can do for you *after* an assignment is due.

## **Academic Integrity**

Academic integrity is essential to a positive teaching and learning environment. All students enrolled in University courses are expected to complete coursework responsibilities with fairness and honesty. Failure to do so by seeking unfair advantage over others or misrepresenting someone else's work as your own can result in disciplinary action. The University of Toronto's Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters outlines the behaviours that constitute academic dishonesty and the processes for addressing academic offences.

"Scholastic Dishonesty: Scholastic dishonesty means plagiarizing; cheating on assignments or examinations; engaging in unauthorized collaboration on academic work; taking, acquiring, or using test materials without faculty permission; submitting false or incomplete records of academic achievement; acting alone or in cooperation with another to falsify records or to obtain dishonestly grades, honors, awards, or professional endorsement; altering forging, or misusing a University academic record; or fabricating or falsifying data, research procedures, or data analysis."

### **Grading System**

Class Engagement:	Reflection Papers (15 pts/each)	120 pts
	Class Participation	30
Presentations:	Guided Discussion	50
	Final Presentation	<u>50</u>
Total points		250 pts

i otal points

Grade	Point Value		alue	Percentage	Definition
A+	224	to	250	90-100	
А	211	to	223	85-89	Excellent
A-	199	to	210	80-84	
B+	191	to	198	77-79	
В	181	to	190	73-76	Good
B-	174	to	180	70-72	
C+	166	to	173	67-69	
С	156	to	165	63-66	Adequate
C-	149	to	155	60-62	•
D+	141	to	148	57-59	
D	131	to	140	53-56	Marginal
D-	124	to	130	50-52	3
F	0	to	123	0-49	Inadequate

## **Course Calendar**

Tuesdays	Торіс
4-Sep	Intro to Relationships Research
11-Sep	Evolutionary Perspective
18-Sep	Attachment Perspective
25-Sep	Interdependence Perspective
2-Oct	Relationship Goals and Schemas
9-Oct	Reading Week – No Classes
16-Oct	Behavioral Regulation and Interaction Patterns

23-Oct	Sexuality in Relationships	
30-Oct	Culture, Internet, and Social Change	
6-Nov	Conflict and Dissolution	
13-Nov	Group Consultations for Proposals	
20-Nov	Student Presentations	
27-Nov	Student Presentations	

## **Course Readings**

(Readings are in accordance with University of Toronto copyright access guidelines 2014).

## <u>September 4 – Introduction to Relationships Research</u>

No readings.

#### <u>September 11 – Evolutionary Perspective</u>

Kenrick, D. T., & Trost, M. R. (1997). Evolutionary approaches to relationships. In S. Duck (Eds.), *Handbook of personal relationships* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed, pp. 151-177). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley & Sons.

#### **Guided Discussion Article**

Cantu, S. M., Simpson, J. A., Griskevicius, V., Weisberg, Y. J., Durante, K. M., & Beal, D. J. (2014). Fertile and selectively flirty: Women's behavior toward men changes across the ovulatory cycle. *Psychological Science*, *25*, 431-438.

### <u>September 18 – Attachment Perspective</u>

Weinfield, N. S., Sroufe, L. A., Egeland, B., & Carlson, E. A. (2008). Individual differences in infant-caregiver attachment: Conceptual and empirical aspects of security. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications 2<sup>nd</sup> edition* (pp. 78-101). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2012). Attachment theory expanded: A behavioral systems approach. In K. Deaux & M. Snyder (Eds)., *The Oxford handbook of personality and social psychology* (pp. 467-492). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

#### Guided Discussion Article

Simpson, J. A., Collins, W. A., Tran, S., & Haydon, K. C. (2007). Attachment and the experience and expression of emotions in adult romantic relationships: A developmental perspective. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *92*, 355-367.

### <u>September 25 – Interdependence Perspective</u>

Kelley, H. H. (1997). Expanding the analysis of social orientations by reference to the sequentialtemporal structure of situations. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 27, 373-404.

Rusbult, C. E., Arriaga, X. B., & Agnew, C. R. (2003). Interdependence in close relationships. In G. J. O. Fletcher & M. S. Clark (Eds.), *Blackwell handbook of social psychology: Interpersonal processes* (359-387). Boston, MA: Blackwell Publishing.

### Guided Discussion Article

Murray, S., Aloni, M., Holmes, J., Derrick, J., Stinson, D., & Leder, S. (2009). Fostering partner dependence as trust insurance: The implicit contingencies of the exchange script in close relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 96*, 324-348.

## October 2 – Relationship Goals and Schemas

Simpson, J. A., Fletcher, G. J. O., & Campbell, L. (2003). The structure and function of ideal standards in close relationships. In G. J. O. Fletcher & M. S. Clark (Eds.), *Blackwell handbook of social psychology: Interpersonal processes* (pp. 86-106). Boston, MA: Blackwell Publishing.

Rusbult, C. E., Finkel, E. J., & Kumashiro, M. (2009). The Michelangelo phenomenon. *Current directions in psychological science*, *18*, 305-309.

Fitzsimons, G. (2006). Pursuing goals and perceiving others: A self-regulatory perspective on interpersonal relationships. In K. Vohs & E. Finkel (Eds.), *Self and relationships: Connecting intrapersonal and interpersonal processes* (pp. 32-53). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

### Guided Discussion Articles

Campbell, W. K., Foster, C. & Finkel, E. (2002). Does self-love lead to love for others? A story of narcissistic game playing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *83*, 340-354.

Lackenbauer, S. D., & Campbell, L. (2012). Measuring up: The unique emotional and regulatory outcomes of different perceived partner-ideal discrepancies in romantic relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 103*, 472-488.

## <u> October 9 – No Class (Reading Week)</u>

### October 16 – Behavioral Regulation and Interaction Patterns

Blackhart, G. C., Baumeister, R. F., & Twenge, J. M. (2006). Rejection's impact on selfdefeating, prosocial, antisocial, and self-regulatory behaviors. In K. Vohs & E. Finkel (Eds.), *Self and relationships: Connecting intrapersonal and interpersonal processes* (pp. 237-253). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Eldridge, K. A., & Christensen, A. (2002). Demand-withdraw communication during couple conflict: A review and analysis. In P. Noller & J. A. Feeney (Eds.), *Understanding marriage: Developments in the study of couple interaction* (pp. 289-322). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Holmes, J. G., & Rempel, J. K. (1989). Trust in close relationships. In C. Hendrick (Ed.), *Close relationships* (pp. 187-220). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

### Guided Discussion Articles

Downey, G., Frietas, A. L., Michaelis, B., & Khouri, H. (1998). The self-fulfilling prophecy in close relationships: Rejection sensitivity and rejection by romantic partners. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *72*, 545-560.

Aron, A., Norman, C. C., Aron, E. A., McKenna, C., & Heyman, R. E. (2000). Couples' shared participation in novel and arousing activities and experienced relationship quality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 78,* 273-284.

Gable, S. L., Reis, H. T., Impett, E. A., & Asher, E. R. (2004). What do you do when things go right?: The intrapersonal and interpersonal benefits of sharing positive events. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *87*, 228-245.

## October 23 – Sexuality in Relationships

Impett, E. A., Muise, A., & Peragine, D. (2014). Sexuality in the context of relationships. In D. Tolman, L. Diamond, J. Bauermeister, W. George, J. Pfaus, & M. Ward (Eds.), *APA handbook of sexuality and psychology, Vol. W: Person-based approaches* (pp. 269-315). Washington, D.C.: American Psychological Associations.

Diamond, L., & Buttersworth, M. (2009). The close relationships of sexual minorities: Partners, friends, and family. In M. C. Smith & N. DeFrates-Densch (Ed.), *Handbook of research on adult learning and development* (pp. 351-377). New York, NY: Routledge/Taylor & Francis.

#### **Guided Discussion Articles**

Mongeau, P. A., Knight, K., Williams, J., Eden, J., & Shaw, C. (2013). Identifying and explicating variation among friends with benefits relationships. *Journal of Sex Research*, *50*, 37-47.

Birnbaum, G., Reis, H. T., Mikulincer, M., Gillath, O., & Opraz, A. (2006). When sex is more than just sex: Attachment orientations, sexual experience, and relationship quality. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 91*, 929-943.

### October 30 - Culture, Internet, and Social Change

Goodwin, R., & Pillay, U. (2006). Relationships, culture, and social change. In A. L. Vangelisti & D. Perlman's (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of personal relationships* (pp. 695-708). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Olver, K. (2012). Multicultural couples: Seeing the world through different lenses. In P. Robey, R. E. Wubbolding, & J. Carlson (Eds.), *Contemporary issues in couples counseling: A choice theory and reality therapy approach* (pp. 33-46). New York, NY: Routledge/Taylor & Francis.

Boase, J., & Wellman, B. (2006). Personal relationships: On and off the Internet. In A. L. Vangelisti & D. Perlman's (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of personal relationships* (pp. 709-723). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

#### **Guided Discussion Articles**

Manago, A., Taylor, T., & Greenfield, P. (2012). Me and my 400 friends: The anatomy of college students' Facebook networks, their communication patterns, and well-being. *Developmental Psychology, 48*, 369-380.

Perry, M. S., & Werner-Wilson, R. J. (2011). Couples and computer-mediated communication: A closer look at the affordances and use of the channel. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal, 40*, 120-134.

## November 6 – Conflict and Dissolution

Kline, G. H., Pleasant, N. D., Whitton, S. W., & Markman, H. J. (2006). Understanding couple conflict. In A. L. Vangelisti & D. Perlman (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of personal relationships* (pp. 445-462). New York, NY: Cambridge Univ Press.

Christensen, A., & Pasch, L. (1993). The sequence of marital conflict: An analysis of seven phases of marital conflict in distressed and nondistressed couples. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *13*, 3-14.

Story, L. B., Rothman, A. D., & Bradbury, T. N. (2002). Risk factors, risk processes, and the longitudinal course of newlywed marriage. In P. Noller & J. Feeney (Eds.), *Understanding marriage: Developments in the study of couple interaction* (pp. 468-492). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

#### **Guided Discussion Articles**

Sanford, K., & Wolfe, K. L. (2013). What married couples want from each other during conflicts: An investigation of underlying concerns. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, *32*, 674-699.

Lavner, J. A., & Bradbury, T. N. (2010). Patterns of change in marital satisfaction over the newlywed years. *Journal of Marriage and Family, 72*, 1171-1187.